



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,242	02/11/2002	Rabih Haddad		8997

25628 7590 04/21/2005

LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM H. HOLT
12311 HARBOR DRIVE
WOODBIDGE, VA 22192

EXAMINER

SHIN, KYUNG H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2143

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/071,242

Applicant(s)

HADDAD ET AL.

Examiner

Kyung H. Shin

Art Unit

2143

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 February 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responding to application papers filed 2/11/2002.
2. Claims 1 - 36 are pending. Independent claims are 1, 23.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 2, 10 - 16, 22 - 28, ^{khs}~~33~~, 34, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Langseth et al. (US Patent No. 6,873,693).

Regarding Claim 1, Langseth discloses a method for real-time generating, managing, and broadcasting multimedia events reports over communications networks comprising:

- a) a first step of defining a model of said reports having at least an event model with building blocks including a representative set of pre-determined categories of said events (see Langseth col. 17, lines 13-16: template (i.e. model) for event reports), a set of predetermined key actions for each of said pre-determined categories (see Langseth col. 4, lines 46-50: categories for

event information), and a pre-determined time scale of said pre-determined key actions. (see Langseth col. 3, lines 55-59: key occurrences or receipt of information (i.e. predetermined key action) and time period (i.e. time scale) to determine event information capture)

- b) a second step of implementing said model on a computer system having at least data input means, data output means, data processing means, data storage means, and data communication means connected to at least a first network of said communications networks using at least a first protocol. (see Langseth col. 25, lines 13-17; col. 29, lines 18-25: network connected computer system processing event information)
- c) a third step of generating a user report of a current event of particular interest to a user among said events by connecting at least a first client system operated by said user to said computer system via at least said first network, selecting a current category among said pre-determined categories which best fits said current event according to said user's choice, registering an event title, an event date, an event time and an event place as a title, a date, a time and a place of said current event respectively, and associating a first current time of said time scale (see Langseth col. 12, line 64 - col. 13, line 5: calendar, date and time parameters) with a current action selected among said pre-determined key actions (see Langseth col. 3, lines 55-58: time base event information processing) occurring during said current event in response to first user inputs by means of the user interface of said first client system.

Art Unit: 2143

(see Langseth col. 9, line 66 - col. 10, line 5: user subscribes for generation of event information report, setup event categories and sub-categories, date/time periods)

- d) a fourth step of storing said user report in said data storage means. (see Langseth col. 3, line 67 - col. 4, line 3: storage of user history (i.e. current and past event information) and user profiles)
- e) a fifth step of broadcasting said user report over at least said first network by means of said data communication means. (see Langseth col. 3, lines 58-62: telecast (i.e. broadcast) of user specific event information)

Regarding Claim 2, Langseth discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said first step further includes defining a description model of said events with building blocks including a set of pre-determined items for each of said predetermined categories. (see Langseth col. 9, line 66 - col. 10, line 5; col. 4, lines 46-50; col. 8, lines 35-45: user subscription or registration setup, event information divided into pre-determined groups (i.e. categories))

Regarding Claim 10, Langseth discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said first network is a data transport network, preferably the Internet, and said first protocol is preferably TCP/IP (Transport Control Protocol/Internet Protocol). (see Langseth col. 29, lines 18-25 : Internet communications (i.e. TCP/IP))

Regarding Claim 11, Langseth discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said data

Art Unit: 2143

communication means are connected to at least a second network of said communications networks using at least a second protocol, said second network being preferably a cell phone network and said second protocol being preferably a Wireless Application Protocol (WAP). (see Langseth col. 10, lines 42-45: cell phone (i.e. wireless, WAP) technology utilized for receipt of event information)

Regarding Claim 12, Langseth discloses the method of claim 11 wherein said second network and said second protocol are used by said user to update said user report. (see Langseth col. 3, lines 58-60: update capability option based on user input (i.e. user interface))

Regarding Claim 13, Langseth discloses the method of claim 11 wherein said second network and said second protocol are used to broadcast said user report. (see col. 3, lines 58-62: telecast (i.e. broadcast) of user specific event information)

Regarding Claim 14, Langseth discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said data communication means are connected to at least a third network of said communications networks preferably an interactive television network. (see Langseth col. 4, lines 44-53: interactive television event information)

Regarding Claim 15, Langseth discloses the method of claim 14 wherein said third network is used by said user to update said user report. (see Langseth col. 10, lines 42-

Art Unit: 2143

45; col. 9, lines 33-35: user input and event report output can rotate between different protocols (i.e. PSTN, Internet, wireless))

Regarding Claim 16, Langseth discloses the method of claim 14 wherein said third network is used to broadcast said user report. (see col. 3, lines 58-62; col. 26, lines 4-13: telecast (i.e. broadcast) user specific event information utilizing different protocols)

Regarding Claim 22, Langseth discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said third step further includes associating a current action icon selected among a set of pre-determined key action icons with said current action in response to said first user inputs. (see Langseth col. 9, lines 33-35; col. 29, lines 18-25: user interface, web based (i.e. Internet) interface)

Regarding Claim 23, Langseth discloses a system for real-time generating, managing, and broadcasting multimedia events reports over communications networks comprising:

- a) a first network of said communications networks. (see col. 29, lines 18-25: network communications)
- b) a computer system having at least an applications server, a data base management system, and a communications server connected to said first network. (see Langseth col. 25, lines 13-17; col. 23, lines 54-58; col. 29, lines 18-25: networked computer system plus database)

c) at least a first client system connected to said computer system via at least said first network having at least a graphical user interface and a communication software, display means, pointing means and typing means, wherein said interface includes:

- i) a first drop-down list of pre-determined categories of said events for selecting a current category. a first entry field for entering an event title. a second, third and fourth drop-down list of respectively days, months and years for selecting the date of said event. a fifth, sixth and seventh drop-down list of hours, minutes and time area for selecting the time of said event. a eighth drop-down list of countries for selecting the country of said event. a second entry field for entering the city of said event. a ninth drop-down list of pre-determined key actions for selecting the current action of said event. a third entry field for entering the current time of said current action. first command buttons for validating first user entries upon user commands and transferring said first entries to said communications server using said communication software. (see Langseth col. 17, lines 49-54; col. 19, lines 26-30; col. 9, lines 33-35: menu, sub-menu processing options, user interface)
- i) said applications server further processing said first and second entries for generating and broadcasting a user report according to data stored in said data base over at least said first network by means of said

Art Unit: 2143

communications server. (see Langseth col. 3, line 67 - col. 4, line 4; col. 3, lines 58-62: generation and broadcast of event information)

Regarding Claim 24, Langseth discloses the system of claim 23 wherein said interface further includes:

- a) a fourth entry field for entering a title of a newsflash associated with said current time or said current action. a first multi-line text box for entering a comment associated with said title. a tenth drop-down list box for selecting a media type associated with said title. a first file open dialog for selecting a media file of said media type associated with said title. a second file open dialog for selecting an icon associated with said media file. (see Langseth col. 17, lines 49-54; col. 19, lines 26-30; col. 9, lines 33-35; menu, user input interface)
- b) second command buttons for validating second user entries upon user commands and transferring said second entries to said computer system using said communication software. (see Langseth col. 9, lines 33-35; col. 25, lines 13-17; col. 29, lines 18-25: user interface, computer system, communications)

Regarding Claim 25, Langseth discloses the system of claim 23 comprising further a second network of said communications networks, said second network being preferably a cell phone network. (see Langseth col. 10, lines 42-45: cell phone (i.e. wireless, WAP) technology utilize for receipt of event information: menu option

Art Unit: 2143

capabilities)

Regarding Claim 26, Langseth discloses the system of claim 25 wherein said interface further includes first option buttons for broadcasting, or not, said user report over said second network. (see Langseth col. 9, lines 33-35; col. 26, lines 4-13: user interface, multiple formats utilized for input/output)

Regarding Claim 27, Langseth discloses the system of claim 25 wherein said interface further includes second option buttons for updating at least said first and second entries by means of a second client using a Wireless Application Protocol (WAP). (see Langseth col. 10, lines 42-45: cell phone (i.e. wireless, WAP) technology utilized for event information, menu option)

Regarding Claim 28, Langseth discloses the system of claim 24 wherein:

- a) said first network is a network using the TCP/IP protocol, preferably the Internet. said communications server comprises at least a first HTTP server.
(see Langseth col. 29, lines 18-25: HTTP, web based technology utilized)
- b) said communication software is an Internet browser. (see Langseth col. 29, lines 18-25: HTTP, web based technology utilized)

Regarding Claim 32, Langseth discloses the system of claim 28 wherein:

- a) said communications server further comprises at least a second HTTP server.
(see Langseth col. 29, lines 18-25: HTTP, web based communications)

Art Unit: 2143

- b) said computer system further comprises a peer to peer server connected to said first network via said second HTTP server. (see Langseth col. 29, lines 18-25: Internet based applications (client/server, peer/peer))

Regarding Claim 34, Langseth discloses the system of claim 23, wherein said user report features a plurality of lines, each line comprising said current time and a current action icon automatically associated with said current action. (see Langseth col. 22, lines 39-42: event information based on time parameter)

Regarding Claim 35, Langseth discloses the system of claim 24, wherein said user report features a plurality of paragraphs, each paragraph comprising said current time and said associated newflash. (see Langseth col. 22, lines 39-42: event information based on time parameter)

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claims 3, 4, 9, 17 - 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langseth et al. (US Patent No. 6,873,693) in view of**

Art Unit: 2143

Brandenberg et al. (US Patent No. 6,834,195).

Regarding Claim 3, Langseth discloses an event information report generation system with building blocks including a set of pre-determined outcomes for each of said pre-determined categories. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 12-15; col. 8, lines 35-45: event information report, categories) Langseth does not specifically ranking events to generate a score. However, Brandenberg discloses the method of claim 2 wherein said first step further includes defining a scoring model of said events. (see Brandenberg col. 2, lines 48-53; col. 31, lines 49-56: event ranking (i.e. scoring))

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Langseth to rank delivered event information for a particular user as taught by Brandenberg. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Brandenberg in order to efficient process large volumes of data into personalized information for a particular user. (see Brandenberg col. 1, line 64 - col. 2, line 3: "*... a wide variety of products and services which locate and sift through large volumes of data in an effort to disseminate particular information which is relevant to particular consumers ...*")

Regarding Claim 4, Langseth discloses the method of claim 3 wherein said first step further includes defining a statistics model of said events with building blocks including a set of pre-determined sortings for each of said predetermined categories. (see Langseth col. 24, line 66 - col. 25, line 6; col. 8 lines 35-45: event statistics collected and analyzed

Art Unit: 2143

based on pre-setup groups (i.e. categories))

Regarding Claim 9, Langseth discloses the method of claim 4 wherein said second step includes declaration of the building blocks of said event, description, scoring and statistics models according to the rules of a standard computer language, preferably compliant with XML Schemas standard. (see Langseth col. 6, lines 39-43; col. 32, lines 40-43; col. 24, line 66 - col. 25 line 6: XML and statistical analysis technologies utilized by event information report system)

Regarding Claim 17, Langseth discloses the method of claim 4 wherein said events are sporting events and the set of said predetermined categories includes basket-ball, bowling, european football or soccer, american football, formula 1, handball, ice hockey, judo, rugby, tennis, volley-ball, and other sports. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 35-45: event information reporting grouped (i.e. sorted) into categories and sub-categories (i.e. division within sporting event or sports game))

Regarding Claim 18, Langseth discloses the method of claim 17 wherein the set of said pre-determined items for each of said predetermined categories includes player, team, referee, category of player, sex, level of competition. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 35-45: event information reporting grouped (i.e. sorted) into categories and sub-categories (i.e. sporting event participant information))

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding Claim 19, Langseth discloses the method of claim 17 wherein the set of said pre-determined outcomes for each of said pre-determined categories comprises scores, results, standings. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 35-45: event information reporting grouped (i.e. sorted) into categories and sub-categories (i.e. sporting event team information))

Regarding Claim 20, Langseth discloses the method of claim 17 wherein the set of said pre-determined sortings for each of said pre-determined categories includes sorting by player, sorting by team, sorting on the event. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 35-45: event information reporting grouped (i.e. sorted) into categories and sub-categories (i.e. sporting event sub-categories))

Regarding Claim 21, Langseth discloses an event information report generation system with building blocks including a set of pre-determined outcomes for each of said pre-determined categories. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 12-15; col. 8, lines 35-45: event information system with categories)

Langseth does not specifically disclose the sporting event soccer. However, Brandenburg discloses the method of claim 17 wherein said current category among said pre-determined categories is soccer, said pre-determined time scale comprises first half, second half, first extra, second extra and added time, and the set of said pre-determined key actions includes clearance, corner, direct free kick, end of game, extra time, foul, free kick, goal, goalkeeper, save, hand ball indirect free kick, injury, offside,

Art Unit: 2143

own goal, penalty, red card, shoot on goal, shoot outside goal, start of the game, stoppage, substitution, touch, yellowcard. (see Brandenburg col. 44, lines 28-34: soccer sporting event designate soccer categories and sub-categories (i.e. corner kick, first half, goal, goalkeeper, ...))

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Langseth to specifically process the sporting event of soccer and its sub-categories of information as taught by Brandenburg. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Brandenburg in order to efficient process large volumes of data into personalized information for a particular user. (see Brandenburg col. 1, line 64 - col. 2, line 3)

7. Claims 29 - 31, 33, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langseth et al. (US Patent No. 6,873,693) in view of Drosset et al. (US Patent No. 6,748,427).

Regarding Claim 29, Langseth discloses wherein said first HTTP server submits the pages received from said browser to said application server for real-time generating dynamic web pages of said events reports (see Langseth col. 8, lines 12-15; col. 4, lines 37-41: event information processing system utilized by dynamic web page generation)

Langseth does not specifically disclose the usage of Java technology processing web pages. However, Drosset discloses the system of claim 28 wherein further

Art Unit: 2143

comprising servlets components, preferably according to the Java Server Page.TM. (JSP) standard for real-time generating dynamic web pages. (see Drosset col. 29, lines 28-35: Java and Internet technology)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Langseth to specifically include the processing of media type files as taught by Drosset. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Drosset in order to coordinate supplemental information with the broadcast information provided to a particular user. (see Drosset col. 7, lines 23-26: "*... system and method for coordinating supplemental information with broadcast material provided to user equipment 112, a specific application of this generalized system ...*")

Regarding Claim 30, Langseth discloses the system of claim 29 wherein the content of said pages is compliant with a XML description stored in said database. (see Langseth col. 6, lines 39-43; col. 32, lines 40-43; col. 23, lines 54-58: XML and database technology utilized by event information report system)

Regarding Claim 31, Langseth discloses the system of claim 30 wherein said XML description is in XML Schema Description files. (see Langseth col. 6, lines 39-43; col. 32, lines 40-43: XML technology utilized by event information report system)

Regarding Claim 33, Langseth discloses an event information processing utilizing said peer to peer server. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 12-15; col. 29, lines 18-25: event

Art Unit: 2143

information processing system, internet based applications (i.e. client/server, peer/peer)) Langseth does not specifically disclose the processing of media type files. However, Drosset discloses the system of claim 32 wherein said media file is uploaded or downloaded. (see Drosset col. 8, lines 5-13; col. 8, lines 57-60; col. 9, lines 54-58: processing media file data)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Langseth to specifically include the processing of media files as taught by Drosset. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Drosset in order to coordinate supplemental information with the broadcast information provided to a particular user. (see Drosset col. 7, lines 23-26)

Regarding Claim 36, Langseth discloses event information processing system utilizing web based technology wherein said paragraph further includes said icon. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 12-15; col. 29, lines 18-25: event information processing system, internet (i.e. web, icons) based applications (client/server, peer/peer)) Langseth does not specifically disclose the processing of media type files. However, Drosset discloses the system of claim 35, wherein processing said media file or the image of said media file, according to said media type. (see Drosset col. 8, lines 5-13; col. 8, lines 57-60; col. 9, lines 54-58: processing media file data)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Langseth to specifically include the processing of media files as taught by Drosset. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ

Art Unit: 2143

Drosset in order to coordinate supplemental information with the broadcast information provided to a particular user. (see Drosset col. 7, lines 23-26)

8. Claims 5 - 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Langseth et al. (US Patent No. 6,873,693) in view of Brandenburg et al. (US Patent No. 6,834,195) and further in view of Drosset et al. (US Patent No. 6,748,427).

Regarding Claim 5, Langseth discloses an event information processing system utilizing a user interface for user inputs by means of the user interface of said first client system, said pre-determined categories. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 12-15; col. 9, lines 33-35; col. 8, lines 35-45: event information system utilizing categories with user interface)

Langseth does not specifically disclose the processing of news event information. However, Brandenburg discloses the method of claim 1 wherein building blocks of said event model further include a predetermined newsflash type and said third step further includes registering of a current newsflash of the current newsflash type. (see Brandenburg col. 19, lines 2-8; col. 31, lines 49-52: news event information processed and reported by event information system)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Langseth to specifically process news information type events as taught by Brandenburg. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Brandenburg in order to efficient process large volumes of data into personalized

Art Unit: 2143

information for a particular user. (see Brandenburg col. 1, line 64 - col. 2, line 3)

Regarding Claim 6, Langseth discloses an event information processing system utilizing a user interface for user inputs by means of the user interface of said first client system. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 12-15; col. 9, lines 33-35: event information system with user interface)

Langseth does not specifically disclose the processing of news event information and media type files. However, Dorsett discloses the method of claim 5 wherein building blocks of said event model further include a set of pre-determined medias for each of said pre-determined categories, and said third step further includes loading a current media file of the current media type selected among said predetermined medias corresponding to said current category. (see Drosset col. 8, lines 5-13; col. 8, lines 57-60; col. 9, lines 54-58: processing media file data) And, Brandenburg discloses said current newsflash, in response to third user inputs by means of the user interface of said first client system. (see Brandenburg col. 19, lines 2-8; col. 31, lines 49-52: news event information processed and reported by event information system)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Langseth to specifically include the processing of media files as taught by Drosset, and process news information type events as taught by Brandenburg. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Drosset in order to coordinate supplemental information with the broadcast information provided to a particular user (see Drosset col. 7, lines 23-26), and employ Brandenburg in order to

Art Unit: 2143

efficient process large volumes of data into personalized information for a particular user. (see Brandenburg col. 1, line 64 - col. 2, line 3)

Regarding Claim 7, Langseth discloses an event information processing system utilizing a user interface for user inputs by means of the user interface of said first client system. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 12-15; col. 9, lines 33-35: event information system with user interface)

Langseth does not specifically disclose processing of media type files. However, Drosset discloses the method of claim 6 wherein said third step further includes loading a current media file icon associated with said current media file. (see Drosset col. 8, lines 5-13; col. 8, lines 57-60; col. 9, lines 54-58: processing media file data)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Langseth to specifically include the processing of media type files as taught by Drosset. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Drosset in order to coordinate supplemental information with the broadcast information provided to a particular user. (see Drosset col. 7, lines 23-26)

Regarding Claim 8, Langseth discloses an event information processing system. (see Langseth col. 8, lines 12-15: event information processing system)

Langseth does not specifically disclose the processing of a media type file. However, Drosset discloses the method of claim 6 wherein the content of said current media file is a streaming multimedia content. (see Drosset col. 8, lines 5-13; col. 8,

Art Unit: 2143

lines 57-60; col. 9, lines 54-58; col. 26, lines 39-40: processing streaming media data file)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Langseth to specifically include the processing of streaming media type files as taught by Drosset. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Drosset in order to coordinate supplemental information with the broadcast information provided to a particular user. (see Drosset col. 7, lines 23-26)

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kyung H. Shin whose telephone number is (571) 272-3920. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/071,242
Art Unit: 2143

Page 21

K H S
Kyung H Shin
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2143

KHS
Apr 17, 2005

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'David Wiley', with a stylized, cursive script.

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100